Application No.: 09/463,024 Docket No.: 31174/30000

## **REMARKS**

## I. PRELIMINARY REMARKS

This paper is presented in response to the non-final office action dated February 19, 2009, in which all pending claims (25-27, 29, and 31-41) were rejected, and is accompanied by a three-month extension petition and the requisite fee.

## II. OUTSTANDING REJECTIONS

Claims 25-27, 29, and 31-41 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Policappelli et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,612,039 ("Policappelli") in view of Lowestein, U.S. Patent No. 3,764,692 ("Lowenstein").

Claims 25-27, 29, and 31-41 have been provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1, 2, 6, 10, 11, and 13-36 of copending Application No. 11/209,429.

#### III. PATENTABILITY ARGUMENTS

A. The rejections under 35 USC §103 in view of Policappelli and Lowenstein should be withdrawn

The rejection of all pending claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) should be withdrawn because the mixed cation salt of hydroxycitric acid ("HCA") is not obvious in light of the references. The pending claims require the presence of calcium and potassium or sodium, or a mixture thereof. The references, <u>Lowenstein</u> and <u>Policappelli</u>, do not teach or suggest all the claim limitations. Each reference teaches single cation salts of HCA; there is no disclosure regarding mixed cation salts of HCA. <u>Policappelli</u> merely recites a calcium salt of HCA. Policappelli at col. 5, lines 66-67. <u>Lowenstein</u> merely recites various single cation salts of HCA. Lowenstein at col. 2, lines 1-8.

Neither reference discloses or suggests a double or triple mixed salt of HCA. Although the action on page 3 states that formation of a double or triple salt is inherent, neither <u>Lowenstein</u> or <u>Policappelli</u> disclose *mixed* cation salts of HCA. There is no mixing of sodium, calcium, or potassium hydroxide in <u>Lowenstein</u> or <u>Policappelli</u>. Although the Action states that the teachings of the prior art are not limited to the disclosed examples, the specification of Lowenstein discloses only single (not mixed) cation salts of HCA.

Application No.: 09/463,024 Docket No.: 31174/30000

Furthermore, the examples of <u>Lowenstein</u> are illustrative of the disclosure regarding single cation salts of HCA.

The action states that "[p]roperties are the same when the structure and composition are the same." Action at p. 4. However, the claimed compositions are not the same. The claimed compositions are *mixed* cation salts of HCA. In contrast, the prior art compositions are single (not mixed) cation salts of HCA. Furthermore, the claimed properties of high solubility and low hygroscopicity were not present in the compounds disclosed in <u>Lowenstein</u> and <u>Policappelli</u>.

Additionally, secondary considerations, such as unexpected results, must be considered when discussing obviousness. The present invention of the mixed cation salt of HCA produces unexpected results. The mixed cation salt of HCA has better solubility, hygroscopicity, and palatability properties than the single cation salts disclosed in the prior art. Each single cation salt of HCA is problematic for use in dietary supplements. The specification details the problems associated with single cation salts of HCA at page 3, lines 25-28. Calcium salt of HCA, which is disclosed in Policappelli, has poor solubility, reducing bioavailability. *Id.* at p. 3, lines 27-28. Potassium salt of HCA, which is disclosed in Lowenstein, is highly hygroscopic, reducing shelf life. *Id.* at p. 3, lines 25-26. There is no indication in the prior art that mixed cation salts of HCA would have high solubility and low hygroscopicity. Therefore, the unexpected properties, increased bioavailability and minimal hygroscopicity, of the mixed cation salt of HCA demonstrates that the combination is not obvious.

Finally, this combination of salts leads to better bioavailability and shelf life for dietary supplements, a true improvement in the area of dietary supplements. Therefore, it is submitted that this rejection can be withdrawn.

B. The provisional rejection under the judicially created obviousness-type double patenting should be deferred

Because this is a provisional rejection, applicants will address these rejections if and when they mature.

Application No.: 09/463,024 Docket No.: 31174/30000

# **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, it is submitted that each of claims 25-27, 29, and 31-41 should now be allowed. Should the Examiner wish to discuss any issues of form or substance, he is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the number below.

Dated: August 19, 2009 Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey S. Sharp

Registration No.: 31,879

MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP

233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6300

Sears Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357

(312) 474-6300

Attorney for Applicant